Pullen
Sims, Hague, Miller
von Reichbauer, Vance
Introduced by: ____Derdowski

Sept. 12, 1995 PERFORM.OR15/CMM

Proposed No: 95-378

ordinance no. 11980

AN ORDINANCE relating to local government performance, instituting a process for developing performance measures for King County, providing guidance to the King County executive on elements to be incorporated into developing performance measures, and specifying a product review process by the King County council and the office of the King County auditor.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Findings and intent. In its study on performance measures and on how county agencies measure how well they are doing, the office of the King County auditor determined that deficiencies exist in determining performance, and recommended that steps be taken to devise and implement a county government—wide system of performance measures. If such a system is implemented, it would provide the foundation for the purposes of informing the public, determining success, providing concrete management tools to public officials, and providing critical information on cost, necessity, effectiveness, efficiency and citizen satisfaction to elected decision makers.

Consistent with the need to know how well County government is performing, the King County council finds that the government of King County exists for the purpose of providing effective and efficient service to its citizens.

Accordingly, the citizens of King County have a reasonable expectation that their tax dollars will purchase the services that they need at a reasonable cost. The citizens of King County have a further reasonable expectation their government will actively solicit their opinions in determining the services to be provided to them, that their government will take steps to determine how well those services are delivered,

11980 *

whether the services provided are in fact needed or wanted by the citizens, and that it will take active steps to inform them how well it is performing its explicitly stated mission of providing services to them.

The King County council finds that King County government presently has in place workload indicators and other mechanisms for determining its volume of activity, but has a need to devise and put in place performance measurements designed to reveal how well it is providing existing services to the public, how effectively it is determining the needs of the citizenry and how effectively it is implementing services to meet those needs that the public has identified.

Additionally, the creation and implementation of performance measures for all levels of government is a national trend which will likely ultimately result in their being held accountable for devising and implementing such measures by bodies such as the governmental accounting standards board.

The King County council further finds that in those local jurisdictions where performance measures have been devised and implemented, such as Sunnyvale, California, Phoenix, Arizona and Portland, Oregon, citizen satisfaction with governments has reportedly been measurably increased as has the quality of government service delivery.

King County needs to have an effective and coherent system in place for measuring how well its departments provide their services to the public, and for letting the public know in clear and easily understood terms what its government is doing.

The King County council finds that, in an age where customer service is the key to the success of any large entity, either public or private, where the general public is unsympathetic to governmental bodies which cannot justify their expenditures and where public dollars will not be as readily available as in the past, King County government must have an

 on-going process of self-examination to establish clear missions, goals, objectives and performance measurements and their success.

Performance measurements are a tool to hold government accountable in a clear way. As such, performance measures are philosophically and conceptually consistent, and work hand in glove, with other efforts designed to make government better and more effective.

It is the intent of the council to have line employees in the executive branch of county government involved in devising performance measures against which their agencies will be held accountable. Meaningful employee involvement in this process has been a critical factor in the success of jurisdictions which have created useful performance measurement systems. The commitment of elected officials and management, their time and resources are also key factors in determining the success of creating performance measures.

SECTION 2. **Definitions**. All words used herein shall have their common and usual meanings, except as otherwise specified below:

- A. "King County" or "King County government" shall mean the council's agencies and all departments, divisions, sections, units, programs, offices and agencies of the executive branch including the department of metropolitan services and all of its functional parts.
- B. "Mission" and "Mission statement" shall mean the written statement of purpose establishing the reason for which an agency of King County government exists, which shall generally be consistent with the council-adopted goals and guiding principles for the new consolidated county government.
- C. "Goal" shall mean a general statement of purpose which establishes the direction for a component of an agency's mission.

9/25/95 clerk

- D. "Objective" shall mean a statement of measurable outcomes and/or achievements within a timeframe, where applicable, which contribute toward the accomplishment of a goal.
- E. "Performance measures" shall mean empirical standards which accurately reflect whether an objective has been accomplished.
- SECTION 3. Purpose. The purpose of defining and implementing a system of performance measures for King County shall be:
- a. Establishing clear and understandable outcomes that are accepted by policy makers for departments.
- b. Seeking input from, and communicating to, the public and to county employees clear outcomes and expectations.
- c. Establishing a dynamic internal process that will provide information to insure that outcomes are being accomplished.
- d. Preparing and distributing to both the public and to policy makers a report on whether outcomes have been accomplished.
- SECTION 4. Development process. A performance measurement system will be initially devised for the department of transportation, the department of public safety and for the department of public health using the following process:
- A. The departments shall with the executive identify proposed mission statements, goals and objectives, and shall have two months from the enactment of this ordinance to do so.
- B. The departments and the executive shall solicit the input and feedback of the public and King County employees on mission statements and goals, and shall have a further eight months from the identification of proposed mission statements and goals to do so.

- C. The executive shall propose to the Council for its consideration and adoption mission statements and goals for the three named departments no later than three months after the solicitation of input and feedback from employees and the general public.
- D. The executive shall report to the council, the public and employees in writing how objectives relate to and accomplish the goals. Objectives identified by the executive with the assistance of the general public and employees shall not require council adoption.
- D. Upon the adoption of the mission statements and goals for each department by the council, and the formulation of objectives by the executive, the King County auditor shall review each department's performance measures in relation to its mission, goals and objectives. Departments shall develop the measures and shall supply relevant data, noting its source. Further, goals and objectives shall be consistent with budgetary constraints and current funding levels.
- E. Performance measures shall be circulated to the council and to the executive for comment prior to their implementation.
- F. The departments shall work with the King County auditor to devise a way for reporting mission statements, goals, objectives, performance measures and results to the public and to policy makers annually. It shall be the responsibility of the executive branch to prepare and disseminate these annual reports to policy makers and the general public.
- G. Performance measurement data reporting may be audited by the King County auditor for the purpose of verifying reported outcomes.
- H. Any department or other functional unit of the executive branch may devise performance measurements provided

3 4

5 6

7

8

10

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

that they shall adhere to the procedures, process, timeframes and reporting requirements contained in this ordinance.

SECTION 5. Guidelines for developing mission statements, goals, objectives and performance measurements. The following quidelines shall be used to develop mission statements, goals, objectives and performance measurements:

- Objectives shall be clear, concise, achievable and understandable to the lay public.
- b. Documentation shall be maintained and provided to prove that stakeholders have been sufficiently consulted and involved.
- c. Objectives shall reflect the bulk of the departments' activities.
- Performance measurements shall be empirical and d. verifiable.

SECTION 6. Oversight committee. A. An oversight committee shall be created to oversee and ensure the executive branch's implementation of performance measurements and adherence to the intent and requirements of this ordinance. The oversight committee shall be comprised of: five representatives from the local business community having expertise in customer service and quality management; three representatives of King County government's organized labor organizations; one representative from non-union King County employees; one representative from the academic community with particular expertise in performance measurements; one representative from a jurisdiction presently using performance measurements; and two citizen-at-large representatives having a broad-based community involvement background.

Special consideration shall be given to nominees to the oversight committee recruited from the executive's various ad hoc task forces on government reinvention and betterment.

1	C. The purpose of this oversight committee shall be to
2	convene quarterly to review and discuss the overall performance
3	of King County's performance measurements system. The committee
4	shall also review the system in relation to span of control,
5	total quality management and other management reform
6	initiatives.
7	D. Terms of membership shall be two years and shall be
8	staggered consistent with the provisions of K.C.C. 2.28. The
9	executive shall nominate the members of the oversight
10	committee, in consultation with the council. The council shall
11	confirm the proposed appointments by motion.
12	E. Staffing, and other related support, to the oversight
13	committee shall be provided by the office of the King County
14	auditor consistent with the requirements of K.C.C. 2.20.
15	F. The committee shall sunset after six years unless it
16	is reauthorized by council action.
17	INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 2200
18	day of 1995.
19	PASSED this 25 day of September, 1995.
20 21	KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
22 23	Kent Pullen Chair
24	ATTEST:
25 26	Hudd a Calina Clerk of the Council
27	APPROVED this day of October 1999.
28 29	King County Executive

Attachments: